**Posting of aniiy at Sikkim's Remtek monastery**

@@@4443. SHRI NYODEK LONGGAM: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased ito state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the army was posted at Sikkim's Rumtek Monastery on the night of June 12, 1992;

(b) if so, whether it was done on the request of the Government of Sikkim; and

(c) if not, what were the reasons there. for?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS ([SHRI M.M. JACOB): (a) On a report of threat to the life of a Lama. Army protection was provided in the early hours of/12 June, 1992.

(b) and (c) Because of the nature of the threat and the time of the night when the request was received, the normal process of consultation yvith the State Government could not take place. However, the State Govemment were duly apprised on 12 June, 1992 and army security was withdrawn on the same night in consultation with the State Government.

**Payments for vehicles hired by the Delhi Police**

4444. SHRI RAJUBHAI A. PARMAR: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS he pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 410 given in the Rajya Sabha On the 4th September, 91 and state:

(a) what are the names of the vehicle owners with DDR Numbers, whose vehicles were hired by the Delhi Police from Munirka, New Delhi, Sector-VI-VH, R.K. Puram, New Delhi during the Delhi Bandh in October, 1990 and for election" purposes from 19th fo 24th May, 1991;

@@©Previously Unstarred Question No. 3544, transferre dfrom the 10th) August, 1992.

(b) what are the particulars of 14 cases above together with their names and whether payment has been made to all of them;

(c) if the reply to part (b) above be in the negative, what are the reasons therefor and by when these payments are likely to be cleared;

(d) whether it is a fact that police officer of the above police station took the vehicles for their personal use without making any payment therefor; and

(e) if so, what action Government pro pose to take in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE EST THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI M. *M.* JACOB): (a) The Delhi Police have reported that no vehicles with DDR Numbers was hired by them. However, 7 tempos and 14 private vehicles with other registration number were hired in the month of October, 1990 for arrangements during Aandh and election duties from 18 to 25 May, respectively .

(b) The requisite information, is given in enclosed statement. (See Appendix CLXTV, Annexure No. 73)

(c) Does not arise.
*(d)* No, Sir.
(e) Does not arise.

**Payment to the widows of ITBP personnel**

4445. SHRI RAJUBHAI A. PARMAR: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Rs. 400/- p.m. instead of Rs. 500/-was being given to the widows of ITBP personnel and that too is not being paid from February, 1992, if so, the reasons therefor;

(b) whether there ig any provision in the JTBP to provide employment to the kin oi deceased personnel, if so, the de tails thereof; and